

Analysis Of Deep Learning Classification Methods Of 3D Kinematic Data For Neurological Gait Disorders

Zhengyang Lan, Mathieu Lempereur, Abdeldjalil Aissa El Bey, François Rousseau, Sylvain Brochard

▶ To cite this version:

Zhengyang Lan, Mathieu Lempereur, Abdeldjalil Aissa El Bey, François Rousseau, Sylvain Brochard. Analysis Of Deep Learning Classification Methods Of 3D Kinematic Data For Neurological Gait Disorders. Congress of the European Society of Biomechanics, Jun 2024, Edinburgh, United Kingdom. hal-04576157

HAL Id: hal-04576157 https://imt-atlantique.hal.science/hal-04576157

Submitted on 15 May 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

ANALYSIS OF DEEP LEARNING CLASSIFICATION METHODS OF 3D KINEMATIC DATA FOR NEUROLOGICAL GAIT DISORDERS

Zhengyang LAN (1,4), Mathieu Lempereur (1,2,3), Abdeldjalil Aïssa-El-Bey (5), François Rousseau (1,4), Sylvain Brochard (1,2,3,6)

 Laboratoire de Traitement de l'Information Médicale INSERM U1101, France; 2. Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, France; 3. CHU de Brest, Hôpital Morvan, service de médecine physique et de réadaptation, France; 4. IMT Atlantique, LaTIM U1101 INSERM, France; 5. IMT Atlantique, UMR CNRS 6285, Lab-STICC, Brest, France; 6. Fondation Ildys, France

Introduction

Cerebral palsy (CP) is the leading cause of gait disorders in children. 3D clinical gait analysis provides valuable clinical information for guiding therapeutic choices. In a previous work [1], we investigated deep learning (DL) for 3D gait kinematics classification for diagnostic purposes. This work focuses on identifying key features that DL models leverage for classification, enhancing the reliability of the diagnostic tool and comprehension of the gait signal.

Methods

The 3D gait data were collected between 2006 and 2022 at the Brest University Hospital's motion analysis laboratory in France. The dataset included lower limb kinematics from children with unilateral CP (CPu) and from typically developing (TD) children. The data used were pelvic tilt, obliquity, and rotation; hip flexion/extension (F/E), abduction/adduction (Ab/Ad), and internal/external rotation (I/E Rot); knee F/E, Ab/Ad, and I/E Rot; ankle F/E; and foot progression for both legs. Gait signal is represented by a 22 x 101 matrix, comprising the 11 joint angles for each lower limb. For CPu participants, the first side corresponds to the more affected limb, while the second side represents the less affected limb. For TD participants, side selection is randomized.

In this work, we propose employing an iterative feature selection approach to pinpoint the most informative signal components used by three neural networks (ResNet, LSTM and InceptionTime) for gait data classification.

Results

Figure 1 shows for each network, the order of the most informative signals (underlined by color), as well as the associated classification accuracy. Firstly, it is remarkable that by utilizing a single component of the gait signal, high classification accuracy can be attained (higher than 0.92). Secondly, the ankle F/E of the most affected side consistently emerges as the most informative component for all three neural networks, emphasizing its role in gait pattern analysis.

We continued this feature selection strategy to determine the 5 most informative components for each neural network yielding the following results. LSTM: ankle F/E 1, ankle F/E 2, pelvic rotation 1, knee I/E Rot 2, pelvic tilt 1. ResNet: ankle F/E 1, knee Rot I/E 2, ankle F/E 2, pelvic tilt 2, pelvic tilt 1.

InceptionTime:	ankle	F/E	1,	knee	Rot	I/E	2,	hip
Ab/Ad 2, knee	Ab/Ad	l 2, h	ip .	Ab/Ad	1.1	and	2 r	efer
to the first and	second	side.						

Ranking of one angle trained model's accuracy										
Pelvic tilt - 1st side	6 (0.81)	9 (0.84)	10 (0.85)		Î.					
Pelvic tilt - 2nd side	13 (0.77)	13 (0.81)	14 (0.82)							
Pelvic obliquity - 1st side	22 (0.69)	19 (0.76)	15 (0.81)							
Pelvic obliquity - 2nd side	12 (0.78)	18 (0.77)	13 (0.82)							
Pelvic rotation - 1st side	17 (0.75)	20 (0.76)	21 (0.73)		- 5					
Pelvic rotation - 2nd side	15 (0.77)	22 (0.73)	22 (0.72)							
Hip F/E - 1st side	3 (0.86)	5 (0.88)	3 (0.89)							
Hip F/E - 2nd side	21 (0.69)	21 (0.75)	20 (0.78)							
Hip Ab/Ad - 1st side	9 (0.8)	12 (0.82)	12 (0.84)							
Hip Ab/Ad - 2nd side	10 (0.78)	16 (0.78)	18 (0.79)		- 10					
Hip Rot I/E - 1st side	5 (0.82)	2 (0.9)	4 (0.88)							
Hip Rot I/E - 2nd side	11 (0.78)	17 (0.78)	19 (0.79)							
Knee F/E - 1st side	8 (0.8)	3 (0.9)	2 (0.91)							
Knee F/E - 2nd side	19 (0.71)	11 (0.82)	9 (0.85)							
Knee Ab/Ad - 1st side	14 (0.77)	6 (0.87)	7 (0.88)		15					
Knee Ab/Ad - 2nd side	20 (0.7)	15 (0.78)	17 (0.79)							
Knee Rot I/E - 1st side	7 (0.81)	4 (0.88)	6 (0.88)							
Knee Rot I/E - 2nd side	16 (0.76)	10 (0.83)	11 (0.84)							
Ankle F/E - 1st side	1 (0.92)	1 (0.93)	1 (0.93)							
Ankle F/E - 2nd side	2 (0.87)	8 (0.84)	5 (0.88)							
Foot progression - 1st side	4 (0.83)	7 (0.86)	8 (0.85)							
Foot progression - 2nd side	18 (0.74)	14 (0.8)	16 (0.81)		22					
	STM	Respet	tionTime		22					
			er-							

Figure 1: Ranking (from 1 (with the highest accuracy) to 22) and accuracy of one angle trained model.

Discussion

The iterative feature selection method investigated in this work can reveal most informative components of gait signals for classification. By analyzing the angles that consistently achieve the highest accuracy across networks, we can pinpoint the most decisive features that guide the diagnostic process. These key features include both sides of ankle F/E; the first side of hip F/E, hip I/E Rot, and knee F/E. The next step involves integrating these findings with clinical expertise to gain a comprehensive understanding of gait signals.

References

1. Zhengyang Lan et al, Computers in Biology and Medicine, 2024

