#### Modelling packet insertion on a WSADM ring

Annie Gravey<sup>1,3</sup> Djamel Amar<sup>1,3</sup> Philippe Gravey<sup>1,3</sup> Michel Morvan<sup>1,3</sup> Bogdan Uscumlic<sup>2,3</sup> Dominique Chiaroni<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut Mines Telecom Atlantique Bretagne Pays de la Loire

<sup>2</sup>NOKIA Bell Labs

<sup>3</sup>ANR Research Project N-GREEN 2016-2018

ONDM 2018, Dublin, May 2018



2 WSADM characteristics and operation

Modeling WSADM node behaviour



2/15

Annie Gravey (IMTA)

Traffic Evolution



A majority of the traffic is destined to fixed access (including WiFi)!

A majority of the traffic corresponds to content distribution!

This impacts on how each network portion shall grow in the future



Network Architecture: segmenting the network and locating data centers



What architecture for the Metro Network?



Mapping traffic within an optical infrastructure



User traffic is generated within the electronic layer, and carried over optical fibres



WSADM as a Sub-Lambda Photonically Switched Optical Network

#### PROS

- Optical fibres can provide huge capacity transport
- Optical switching is potentially more energy efficient than electronic switching

#### CONS

- Light cannot be stored, i.e. lack of optical random access memory (RAM) to serve as "optical buffers"
- the optical granularity is rather coarse (wavelength, or grid based) in circuit switching
- Optical Packet Switching may still rely on custom optical components

A WDM slotted Add/Drop Multiplexer (WSADM) network :

- offers sub-lambda granularity
- relies on electronic contention resolution
- does not necessitate tunable lasers or specific/dedicated optical components
- necessitates WDM TRX that naturally support broadcast

WSADM within OPS/OBS Network Classification

- Contention resolution within the Optical Network
  - Fibre Delay Lines
  - Wavelength conversion
  - Deflection (Hot Potato) routing
- No Contention resolution within the Optical Network
  - lossy optical network
  - lossless optical network: contention resolution in edge nodes (implemented in electronics)

#### Packets

- "containers" filled by multiple electronic packets
- carried over a single or multiple wavelengths
- Topology: Slotted Ring, Bus, Tree
- Medium Access
  - opportunistic insertion
  - scheduler based insertion
    - static scheduler (TDMA compliant)
    - dynamic scheduler
    - token ring based

#### WSADM in a nutshell

- lossless optical packet ring or bus
- carries containers over multiple wavelengths
- opportunistic or reservation based MAC

Where can a WSADM network be useful?



This presentation focuses on WSADM for metro/aggregation network



8/15

Annie Gravey (IMTA)

ONDM 2018 8 /

#### Node architecture



- unidirectional or bi-directional (for protection)
- slotted operation
- unicast and multicast support
- reservation based or opportunistic

- a single control channel and multiple data channels
- packet split over multiple channels ("colored" packets)



Label Based Transfer Plane Operation and Control





Data container carried over Kwavelengths (typically, K = 10, D=10Gbit/s, Z=100kbit)

Data plane control information within a WSADM node



## Modeling WSADM node behaviour

Derivation of insertion time distribution

- Assuming Poisson arrivals of packets (PDU):  $\gamma_j(x) = e^{-\Lambda x} \frac{(\Lambda x)^j}{j!}$
- $\pi$  is derived by solving  $\pi P = \pi$
- Distribution of sojourn time before insertion on the ring
  - FIFO electronic queue
  - relies on memory-less property of the Poisson process



Validity of Queueing Models

- Poisson arrivals of packets (PDU): OK for metro traffic
- nothing to check for reservation based mode !
- Bernoulli availability for opportunistic insertion: to be checked



#### Accuracy of Bernoulli availability

- OK as long as offered load is less than 0.8
- better for any-to-any than for aggregation



12/15

NS3 simulation versus queueing model

## Modeling WSADM node behaviour

Comparing MAC for WSADM networks

Assess insertion times versus K, size of wavelength band Benchmark of opportunistic and reservation versus channel reservation



#### Impact of K (data channel size)

- very small K: large impact, K > 5, small impact, tends quickly to limit
- limit(opportunistic) = 2xlimit(reservation) [similar to bus waiting paradox!]
- for small loads, better performance for benchmark [overdimensioning!]

### Modeling WSADM node behaviour

Supporting Metro Networks

The Metro Ethernet Forum has set performance objectives for different network spans, including Metro ( $< 250 \rm km)$ 

	Loss	Delay	Jitter
MEF 23.2	10 <sup>-4</sup>	10ms	3ms
WSADM	0	2.5ms (propagation)	0.25ms
PDU level		0.25ms (insertion)	insertion



#### WSADM dimensioning

- opportunistic insertion less efficient than reservation based mode
- both WSADM modes easily support MEF 23.2 performance objectives
- both WSADM modes are more efficient than channel reservation

• Analytic models for PDU insertion performance under PDU Poisson arrivals

- models for opportunistic, slot reservation, channel reservation insertion policies
- perspective: models for "elephants" (inter data-centers traffic)
- Sub-lambda granularity allows to efficiently allocate resources
  - opportunistic and slot reservation are compatible with MEF performance for metro tier
  - better performance with slot reservation
  - opportunistic and slot reservation can be used simultaneously
- Configuration and re-configuration can be SDN enabled
  - K (size of wavelength band) can be chosen according to offered load, almost independently of performance
  - opportunistic mode is more easily re-configured

